

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07)

EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS	
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)	
PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)		
NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued)</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <p style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</p> </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>Other</p> <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case) </div> </div>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law <input type="checkbox"/> Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23 Demand \$	
Other Relief Sought		

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES		
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)		
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679)
TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 783-8300
Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION**

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,) Chapter 11
)
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)
)
)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING) Adv. Pro. No. 10-_____
TRUST,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
MEDIANEWS GROUP, INC., and)
SAN JOSE MERCURY-NEWS, INC., jointly and/or)
individually dba SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS;)
SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS, INC.; SAN JOSE)
MERCURY-NEWS; THE SAN JOSE MERCURY)
NEWS; SJ MERCURY NEWS; and/or ANG)
NEWSPAPERS,)
)
Defendant.)

**THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER
PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 1157**

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trustee”), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the “Plan”), for his complaint and for his objection to claim no. 1157 (the “Complaint”) against MediaNews Group, Inc. (“Defendant MediaNews”) and San Jose Mercury-News, Inc. (“Defendant “Mercury-News,” together with Defendant Media News, the “Defendants”), jointly and/or individually doing business as San Jose Mercury News; San Jose Mercury News, Inc.; San Jose Mercury-News; The San Jose Mercury News; SJ Mercury News; and/or ANG Newspapers, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendants to recover amounts due and owing from Defendants to Circuit City arising from the relationship between Defendants and Circuit City. The Trustee seeks to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City’s bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, the Trustee objects to San Jose Mercury News’ claim against Debtors.

THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee (“Trustee” or “Plaintiff”) is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trust”). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors’ estates.

3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively “Circuit City” or the “Debtors”)¹ were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant MediaNews is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Denver, Colorado.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mercury-News was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, a corporate entity under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in San Jose, California.

6. Also upon information and belief, Defendant Mercury-News is currently deemed to have been “converted-out” as a corporation under California law.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendants, or one of them, was, at all relevant times to this Complaint, doing business as San Jose Mercury News.

8. The San Jose Mercury News newspaper is part of the BayAreaNewsGroup.

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc.(6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc.(n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard, Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

9. The BayAreaNewsGroup is a division of the California Newspapers Partnership.

10. The California Newspapers Partnership operates as a newspaper publishing company and as a subsidiary of Defendant MediaNews.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

12. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

13. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

14. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 547, and 550 of title 11, United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

15. On November 10, 2008 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

16. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

17. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors’ retail locations (the “Stores”) pursuant to an agency agreement (the “Agency Agreement”) between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the “Agent”). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors’ stores were completed.

18. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors’ Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors’ assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

19. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.

20. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the “Effective Date”).

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City and Defendants

21. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.

22. On or about March 15, 2007, Circuit City and “The San Jose Mercury News” entered into that certain *Circuit City Stores, Inc., Advertising Agreement*, pursuant to which The San Jose Mercury News sold advertising space to Circuit City (the “Agreement”).

23. During the course of their relationship, the parties to the Agreement engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreement that are reflected in invoices, communications, and other documents (collectively, the “Defendants’ Agreements”).

24. Circuit City and The San Jose Mercury News conducted business with one another up to the Petition Date under the Defendants’ Agreements.

25. During the ordinary course of the Debtors’ business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendants (the “Books and Records”).

C. Amount Allegedly Owed to San Jose Mercury News and Claim No. 1157

26. On December 8, 2008, “San Jose Mercury News” filed a claim in the amount of \$191,407.66 as a claim allegedly entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as Claim No.1157.

27. In Claim No. 1157, San Jose Mercury News alleges that Claim No. 1157 was based on “newspaper advertising – services performed.”

28. Upon information and belief, Claim No. 1157 contains invoice amounts that are not due to Defendant pursuant to the Agreement.

29. On July 8, 2009, the Court entered its *Order on Debtors’ Fifth Omnibus Objection to Certain Misclassified Non-Goods 503(b)(9) Claims* [Docket No. 4008] (the “Fifth

Omnibus Order”). Pursuant to the Fifth Omnibus Order, Claim No. 1157 was modified in its entirety as a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$191,407.66.

D. Transfers to San Jose Mercury News Made Within 90 Days Prior to the Petition

Date

30. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City’s bankruptcy cases (the “Preference Period”), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendants in an amount not less than \$242,721.62. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the “Preferential Transfers”).

31. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendants, or either of them, bear the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendants to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

COUNT I

**AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)
(against all Defendants)**

32. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

33. During the Preference Period, Defendants were creditors of one or more of the Debtors.

34. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendants.

35. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.

36. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.

37. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.

38. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendants to receive more than Defendants would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendants received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.

39. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

COUNT II

RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550 (against all Defendants)

40. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein

41. Defendants were either (i) the initial transferees of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entities for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) immediate or mediate transferees of the Preferential Transfers.

42. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.

43. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

COUNT III

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 1157 – DISALLOWANCE UNDER SECTION 502(d) (against all Defendants)

44. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

45. Claim No. 1157 alleges a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.

46. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.

47. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), Claim No. 1157 must be disallowed unless and until Defendants, or either of them, pay to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

COUNT IV

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 1157 AS OVERSTATED (against all Defendants)

48. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

49. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 1157 is overstated by the amount of \$98,353.46 (the "Overstated Amount").and should be disallowed because it contains invoice amounts that are not due to Defendants pursuant to the Agreement.

50. This Overstated Amount is based on, among other things, a lack of documentation to support the claimed amount.

51. As a result, Claim No. 1157 should be reduced by the Overstated Amount..

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$242,721.62;

ii. Pursuant to Count III, disallow Claim No. 1157 in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers;

iii. Pursuant to Count IV, reduce Claim No. 1157 from the claimed amount of \$191,407.66 to \$93,054.20;

iv. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;

[remainder of page left intentionally blank]

- v. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and
- vi. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia
November 9, 2010

TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner
Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679)
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
(310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

EXHIBIT A

(Preferential Transfers)

**San Jose Mercury News Preference Analysis - Payment detail
Exhibit A**

Vendor Name	Check Clear Date	Check Number	Paid Amount
SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS	9/4/2008	4551646	\$ 70,979.52
SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS	10/3/2008	4563027	\$ 107,996.66
SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS	11/4/2008	4570566	\$ 63,745.44
Total Payment Amount			\$ 242,721.62